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## REPORT ON FACULTY SALARY

This report of the Committee on the Economic Status of the Faculty (Committee) focuses on market equity. The Committee utilized the National Faculty Salary Survey: Multi-Discipline Report 2007--2008 produced by the College and University Professional Association for Human Resources (CUPA) to determine whether faculty salaries at UMDearborn are comparable to faculty salaries at UM-Dearborn's Peer Institutions (See Appendix A for the list of UM-D Peer Institutions). The Committee's main conclusion is that faculty salaries are comparable. One hundred percent of the Assistant Professors, ninety-six percent of Associate Professors, and ninety-seven percent of Full Professors are being paid with $90 \%$ of the average salaries in their respective disciplines at UM-D Peer Institutions. Further, fifty-seven percent of Assistant Professors, fifty percent of Associate Professors, and fifty-six percent of Full Professors are being paid above the average salaries.

Comparisons between UM-D faculty salaries and UM-D Peer Institutions are summarized in six graphs. The first three graphs (See Appendix B - Salary Comparisons Between UM-D Faculty and UM-D Peer Institutions - Averages) illustrate the differences between average salaries of UM-D faculty and UM-D Peer Institutions. More specifically, each point on the graph represents the mean salary of faculty of a given rank in a given CUPA discipline category. CUPA discipline categories roughly correspond to UM-Dearborn disciplines, or in some cases departments. For more detail about the data used in the graphs, refer to the "CUPA Data" section below.

Each point on the graph corresponds on the vertical axis to the dollar difference between UM-Dearborn and UM-D Peer Institutions average salaries for a discipline category and faculty rank. Discipline categories are arranged in ascending order. The horizontal axis represents the percentile ranking of discipline categories. Thus the " 50 " on the horizontal axis is the fiftieth percentile or median of the ranked discipline categories.

To illustrate: the first (lowest) point on the "Full Professor Salaries Graph Average" is the CUPA discipline category Education Administration \& Supervision. The graph shows that the average salary for Full Professors of Education Administration \& Supervision at UM-Dearborn is more than $\$ 20,000$ below the average salary for Professors of Education Administration \& Supervision in schools of Education at UM-D Peer Institutions. The fiftieth percentile or median discipline categories for Full Professors are Fine \& Studio Art and Mechanical Engineering. The average salaries of Full Professors in these two faculties are about equal to the average salaries of the faculties in the same CUPA discipline categories and rank at UM-D Peer Institutions. To find a particular discipline, go to the "Graph Data Ranked" section in Appendix D.

The last three graphs (See Appendix C - Salary Comparisons Between UM-D Faculty and UM-D Peer Institutions - Median) illustrate the median salary differences between UM-D faculty and UM-D Peer Institutions by discipline category and rank. Consistent with the data utilizing averages, UM-Dearborn salaries are comparable to their UM-D Peer Institution equivalents.

## Recommendations

The Committee understands that the campus is operating under a condition of constrained economic resources and these recommendations are given in that light. Without referring to salaries of individual faculty and acknowledging significant variation between disciplines, the Committee recommends increasing the salaries of those discipline category/rank areas that are outliers. Specifically, the Committee recommends that the salaries of those faculty members in those disciplines category/rank areas that are not being paid at least within $90 \%$ of their UM-D Peer Institution equivalents be increased so that their salaries are at the UM-D Peer Institution average. The cost of making this adjustment is approximately $\$ 44,500$.

The Committee acknowledges that the administration has made great strides in bringing all UM-D faculty salaries in line with the salaries at UM-D Peer Institutions. The Committee believes that it is important that at a minimum, that in real terms faculty salaries do not decrease in these constrained economic times. As such, the Committee recommends that a merit program for the 2009-2010 academic year be established in an amount equal to at least the rate of inflation. This is especially important given the proposed shift in health care costs from the institution to the faculty.

Finally in the longer term, given the quality of UM-D faculty and UM-Dearborn compared against UM-D Peer Institutions it should be the goal of this campus to bring average faculty salaries of all discipline category/rank areas above the CUPA averages of the UM-D Peer Institutions.
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## CUPA Data

Data used in the graphs come from the National Faculty Salary Survey: MultiDiscipline Report 2007-2008 produced by the College and University Professional Association for Human Resources (CUPA).

The CUPA survey has the important advantage of providing salary information by rank and by discipline category. CUPA discipline categories are usually, but not always the same as the disciplines or departments within colleges here at UM-Dearborn. For example, CUPA discipline categories are unrelated to School of Engineering administrative disciplines and CUPA discipline categories are similar but not identical to School of Management administrative departments.

Some faculty are not represented in the graphs because insufficient CUPA comparison data are available for their discipline category. While CUPA does report comparison data for librarians and curators, this data is not reported for UM-D Peer Institutions. ${ }^{1}$

It should be noted that not all universities in Michigan (or in the region) participate in the CUPA survey, so the choice of comparable institutions is constrained. In addition, not all Michigan universities (or other potential comparable universities) who participate in the CUPA survey have schools of Engineering, Management, and Education.
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## APPENDIX A UM-D Peer Institutions

Central Michigan University<br>Eastern Michigan University<br>Ferris State University<br>Grand Valley State University<br>Michigan Tech. University<br>Northern Michigan University<br>Oakland University<br>Saginaw Valley State University<br>Southern Illinois University Edwardsville<br>University of Michigan Flint<br>University Missouri Rolla<br>University of Northern Iowa<br>University of Toledo<br>University of Wisconsin Eau Claire<br>University of Wisconsin Milwaukee<br>Wayne State University<br>Western Michigan University<br>Wright State University Main Campus<br>Youngstown State University

UM-D Peer Institutions include all Michigan public universities (excluding University of Michigan Ann Arbor) that have reported data to CUPA, as well as universities in the upper Mid West that are similar to UM-D.

## APPENDIX B

Salary Comparisons Between UM-D Faculty and UM-D Peer Institutions Averages

Full Professor Salaries Graph - Average
Associate Professor Salaries Graph - Average
Assistant Professor Salaries Graph - Average




## APPENDIX C

## Salary Comparisons Between UM-D Faculty and UM-D Peer Institutions - Medians

Full Professor Salaries Graph - Median
Associate Professor Salaries Graph - Median
Assistant Professor Salaries Graph - Median




## APPENDIX D

## CUPA Data

Graph Data - Average Salary Data<br>Graph Data - Median Salary Data<br>CUPA Data - Ranked and Sorted<br>CUPA Data - Sorted by Rank<br>CUPA Data - Unranked and Unsorted<br>Raw CUPA Data



























$\int \frac{113.04 \text { Ed Administration \& Supervision }}{13.12 \text { Teacher Ed } \& \text { Prof Dev, Levels } \& \text { Methoo }}$ 13.12 Teacher Ed \& Prof Dev, Levels \& $\frac{14.19 \text { Mechanical }}{40.08 \text { Physics }}$
$[133]$ EDUCATIN
$[27.1$ MATAEMATICS AND STATISTICS 45.06 Economics 25.08 Finance \& Financial Mgt Sucs 13.13 Teacher Ed \& Prof Dev, Subjects $\frac{16.09 \text { Romance }}{45.02 \text { Antiropolo }}$
45.10 Political Science \& Government
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| 52.08 Finance \＆Financial Mgt Srucs |
| :---: |
| 52．03 Accounting \＆Related Srvcs |
| 45．11 Sociology |
| 52．12 Mgt information Sys \＆Srvcs |
| 52．14 Marketing |
| 16.09 Romance |
| 52．02 Admin，Mgt \＆Operations |
| 13．04 Ed Administration \＆Supervisio |
| 09．01 Communication \＆Media Studie |
| 45.06 Economics |
| 38.01 Philosophy |
| ［42．］PSYCHOLOGY |
| 13．10 Special Ed \＆Teaching |
| ［23．）ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND LI |
| 14.35 Industrial |
| 40．05 Chemistry |
| 40.06 Geological \＆Earth Sci／Geosc |
| 14．10 Electrical，Electronics \＆Commu |
| 50．07 Fine \＆Studio Art |
| 14．19 Mechanical |
| ［27．］MATHEMATICS AND STATISTIC |
| ［11．］COMPUTER AND INFORMATIO |
| 54.01 History |
| 45．11 Sociology |
| 45．10 Political Science \＆Government |
| 40．08 Physics |
| 45．02 Anthropology |
| 52．02 Admin，Mgt \＆Operations |
| 13．13 Teacher Ed \＆Prof Dev，Subject |
| 52.03 Accounting \＆Related Sivcs |
| 126．］BIOLOGICAL AND BIOMEDICAL |


Note: Per Department of Justice Safe Harbor Guidelines, statistics will not
display when the Number of Institutions is less than 5 (too few data) or if display when the Number of Institutions is less than 5 (too few data) or, if
weighted statistics are selected, when one institution's data comprise mo
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College and University Professional Association for Human Resources (CUPA)
National Faculty Salary Survey: Multi-Discipline Report (Average)

## Focus institution: University of Michigan-Dearborn <br> Year: $2007-08$ Statistics: Unweighted <br> NP-Number of Incumbents within ithe comparison group. NI - Number of institutions in comparison group - (20). - More than $150 \%$ of the group median - Less than $75 \%$ of the group median
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College and University Professional Association for Human Resources (CUPA)
National Faculty Salary Survey: Multi-Discipline Report (Average)

Note: Per Department of Justice Safe Harbor Guidelines, sfatistics will not display when the
Number of Institutions is less than 5 (too few data) if wighted satics are
when one institution's data comprise more than $25 \%$ of the total \{unb

Updated: 3/2a/200B
College and University Professional Association for Human Resources (CUPA)

## National Faculty Salary Survey: Multi-Discipline Report (Average)

Focus Institution: University of Michigan-Dearborn
Comparison Group: Faculty Study without UM-AA
Statistics: Unweighted
NP - Number of Incumbents within the comparison group.
NI - Number of institutions in comparison group - (20)

- More than $150 \%$ of the group median
- Less than $75 \%$ of the group median


[^2]College and University Professional Association for Human Resources (CUPA) National Faculty Salary Survey: Multi-Discipline Report (Average)

[^3]| BAWMDABA |  | A. Focus | ay | B. Cam | IISD ciro poradiA | up Statisi arage Sa | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tr Basad } \\ & \text { arasi) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $A B A$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| codemite | AP | Avarge | Mes | Avorge | Moula | Minlutm | Maximum | MP |  | Avarge | Modtan | 25 | 40 | 75 | 80 |
| Professor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Associate Professor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 3. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assistant Professor | 1 | 60,204 | 60,264 |  |  |  |  | 25 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Assistant Professor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 3. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instructor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 1 | 69,580 | 69,580 | 90,329 | 92,469 | 69,580 | 110,706 | 20 | 8) | 77.0 |  | 85142 |  |  |  |
| Associate Professor | 1 | 64,052 | 64,052 | 70,893 | 65,929 | 61,787 | 92,153 | 29 | 9 |  | 972 | 85,142 | 90,788 | 97.439 | 98,563 |
| Assistant Professor | 1 | 53,472 | 53,472 | 56,861 | 57,001 | 51,324 | 66,844 |  |  | 94.0 | 97.2 | 64,052 | 65,232 | 74,718 | 76,416 |
| New Assistant Professor |  |  |  | 56.86 | 57,001 | 51,324 | 66,844 | 4 | 11 | 94.0 | 93.8 | 53,884 | 54,931 | 58,639 | 58,851 |
| linstructor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{5}{3}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 1 | 72,836 | 72,836 | 77.917 | 78,715 | 72.836 | 86,773 | 17 | 7 | 935 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Associate Professor | 1. | 62,518 | 62,518 | 64,199 | 64,626 | 56,076 | 69,328 | 18 | 9 | 97.4 | 96.7 | $\frac{73,281}{62518}$ | 75,686 | 80,268 | 81,064 |
| Assistant Professor | 2 | 51,000 | 51.000 | 53,325 | 53.977 | 46,661 | 58.918 | 18 | 7 | 95.6 | 94.5 | 51,751 | 63,190 | 67,719 | 67,927 |
| Now Assistant Professor | 2 | 51,000 | 51,000 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 51.751 | 53,092 | 55,110 | 55,114 |
| Instructor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 1 | 66,835 | 66,835 |  |  |  |  | 17 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Associate Professor | 1 | 62,247 | 62,247 | 62,131 | 62,247 | 58,972 | 64,801 | 29 | 5 | 100.2 | 100.0 | 61.831 | 62,081 | 62,805 | 63,204 |
| Assistant Professor | 1 | 53,570 | 53.570. | 56,877 | 54,019 | 48,086 | 77, 386 | 31 | 6. | 94.2 | 99.2 | 52.382 | 53,570 | 55,441 | 55,765 |
| New Assistant Professor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instructor |  |  |  | 45,366 | 50,798 | 24,945 | 52,240 | 26 | 5 |  |  | 46,845 | 49.217 | 52,000 | 52,048 |

Focus Institution: University of Michigan-Dearborn
Comparison Group: Faculty Study without UM-AA
Statistics: Unweighted


College and University Professional Association for Human Resources (CUPA) National Faculty Salary Survey: Multi-Discipline Report (Average)

[^4]
National Faculty Salary Survey: Multi-Discipline Report (Average)
Focus Institution: University of Michigan-Dearborn
Comparison Group: Faculty Study without UM-AA
Statistics: Unweighted
NP - Number of Incumbents within the comparison group.
NI - Number of institutions in comparison group - (20).

- More than $150 \%$ of the group median
- Less than $75 \%$ of the group median

College and University Professional Association for Human Resources (CUPA)
National Faculty Salary Survey: Multi-Discipline Report (Average)
Focus Institution: University of Michigan-Dearborn
Comparison Group: Faculty Study without UM-AA
Statistics: Unweighted
NP-Number of Incumbents with
NP - Number of licumbents within the comparison group.
NI - Number of institutions in comparison group - (20).
- More than $150 \%$ of the group median
- Less than $75 \%$ of the group median

College and University Professional Association for Human Resources (CUPA)
National Faculty Salary Survey: Multi-Discipline Report (Average)
Focus Institution: University of Michigan-Dearborn
Comparison Group: Faculty Study without UM-AA
Statistics: Unweighte
NP-Number of Incumbey
$\overline{\mathrm{NP}}$ - Number of Incumbents within the camparison group.
NI - Number of institutions in comparison group - (20).
- More than $150 \%$ of the group median
-Less than $75 \%$ of the group median

College and University Professional Association for Human Resources (CUPA)
National Faculty Salary Survey: Multi-Discipline Report (Average)
Focus Institution: University of Michigan-Dearborn
Comparison Group: Faculty Study without UM-AA
Statistics: Unweighted
Key
NP - Number of Incumbents wilhin the comparison group.
NI - Number of intititions in comparison group - (20).
- More than $150 \%$ of the group median
- Less than $75 \%$ of the group median

College and University Professional Association for Human Resources (CUPA)
National Faculty Salary Survey: Multi-Discipline Report (Average)
Focus Institution: University of Michigan-Dearborn
Comparison Group: Faculty Study without UM-AA
Statistics: Unweighted
NP - Number of Incumbents within the comparison group.
NI - Number of institutions in comparison group - (20).
- More than $150 \%$ of the group median
-Less than $75 \%$ of the group median

| RaWOATA | NP | A [ Focus Salaty |  | E. Comparson Eram Stafiches (Ensel on Feponed Average s:iniosy |  |  |  |  | As AVg , as \% of Bls |  |  | 25 | 40 | 15 | 80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Averige | Misi | AVerate | Wedinin | Minimum | Maximum | N2 |  | Average | Msalan: |  |  |  |  |
| nomamuanscinaces |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 3 | 84,071 | 83,414 | 80,390 | 78.901 | 67,570 | 102,762 | 54 | 14 | 104.6 | 106.6 | 73,624 | 75,317 | 85,054 | 85,246 |
| Associate Professor | 2 | 58,384 | 58,384 | 60,392 | 58,573 | 53,987 | 70,129 | 63 | 15 | 96.71 | 99.7 | 58,015 | 58,494 | 63,316 | 64,113 |
| Assistant Professor | 2 | 53,729 | 53,729 | 52,050 | 50,924 | 45,872 | 62,223 | 47 | 12 | 103.2 | 105.5 | 49,468 | 50,247 | 54,310 | 54,626 |
| New Assistant Professor |  |  |  | 52,154 | 51,250 | 43,425 | 64,000 | 7 | 6 |  |  | 50,125 | 50,500 | 52,750 | 53,000 |
| Instructor |  |  |  | 39,634 | 39,189 | 29,507 | 50.616 | 9 | 6 |  |  | 35,663 | 36,736 | 43,410 | 44,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 3 | 84,140 | 85,466 | 80,621 | 81,985 | 59,210 | 107,795 | 36 | 13 | 104.4 | 102.6 | 71,688 | 74,269 | 85,717 | 87.714 |
| Associate Professor | 2 | 73,350 | 73,350 | 65,804 | 63,696 | 52,095 | 93,979 | 57 | 14 | 111.5 | 115.2 | 60,965 | 62.130 | 70,789 | 72,091 |
| Assistant Professor | 2 | 53,848 | 53,848 | 51,123 | 50,678 | 43,767 | 58,874 | 46 | 14 | 105.3 | 106.3 | 48,043 | 50,234 | 54,375 | $\frac{54,571}{}$ |
| New Assistant Professor |  |  |  | 50,201 | 51,000 | 45,000 | 55,000 | 5 | 5 |  |  | 49,005 | 50,202 | 51,000 | 51,800 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 1 | 76,453 | 76,453 | 76,161 | 76,453 | 63,170 | 92,398 | 70 | 15 | 100.4 | 100.0 | 71,412 | 73,380 | 81,337 | 81,632 |
| Associate Professor | 1 | 63,180 | 63,180 | 58,854 | 58,174 | 52,390 | 66,279 | 62 | 13 | 107.4 | 108.6 | 56,815 | 57,459 | 59,902 | 61,457 |
| Assistant Professor | 2 | 53,200 | 53,200 | 48,707 | 47,541 | 45.677 | 53,200 | 67 | 12 | 109.2 | 111.9 | 47,197 | 47,397 | 49.925 | 51,041 |
| New Assistant Professor |  |  |  | 48,534 | 48,641 | 43,000 | 53,000 | 15 | 8 |  |  | 47,249 | 48,126 | 50,249 | 50,596 |
| Instructor |  |  |  | 40,095 | 42,965 | 28.473 | 44,952 | 10 | 6 |  |  | 37.894 | 41,451 | 44,499 | 44,505 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 2 | 116,620 | 116,620 | 107.690 | 109,512 | 79,500 | 144.146 | 67) | 13 | 108.3 | 106.5 | 98,451 | 107,838 | 113,916 | 114,287 |
| Associate Professor | 3 | 111,480 | 90,563 | 93,768 | 93,611 | 82,000 | 111,480 | 56 | 13 | 118.9 | 119.1 | 87,213 | 90,094 | 96.490 | 97,504 |
| Assistant Professor | 3 | 99,754 | 100,000 | 88,555 | 89,600 | 56.121 | 111,460 | 63 | 14 | 112.6 | 111.3 | 83,641 | 88,157 | 95,074 | 95,750 |
| New Assistant Professor | 1 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 85,384 | 86,135 | 30,124 | 122,000 | 16. | 9 | 117.1 | 116.1 | 82,000 | 84,427 | 95,500 | 97,300 |
| instructor |  |  |  | 58,2231 | 53,333 | 44,775 | 73,000 | 13 | 7 |  |  | 49,148 | 52,113: | 69,079 | 70,232 |

College and University Professional Association for Human Resources (CUPA) National Faculty Salary Survey: Muiti-Discipline Report (Average)
Focus Institution: University of Michigan-Dearborn
Comparison Group: Faculty Study without UM-AA
Statistics: Unweighted
NP - Number of Incumbents within the comparison group.
NI - Number of institutions in comparison group - (20).

- More than $150 \%$ of the group median
- Less than $75 \%$ of the group median

Focus Institution: University of Michigan-Dearborn
Comparison Group: Faculty Study without UM-AA Year: 2007-08
Statistics: Unwei
$\overline{\mathrm{NP} \text { - Number of Incumbents within the comparison group. }}$
NI - Number of institutions in comparison group - (20).
- More than $150 \%$ of the group median
- Less than $75 \%$ of the group median

| RAMDAEA |  | cis |  | $\text { B. } \mathrm{comp}$ | arisong apored | up Stats mirge Sa | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { Bassod } \\ & \text { desty) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Asive | has |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crodemile | TP | Avargea | Hed | Avarage | Modinn | Minumm | Maximum | NP |  | Avarase | Medan | 25 | 40 | 75 | 80 |
| S\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 1 | 119,665 | 119,665 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Associata Professor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assistant Professor | 1 | 94,989 | 94,989 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Assistant Professor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| instructor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor |  |  |  | 107,698 | 110,850 | 82,789 | 122,140 | 17 | 5 |  |  | 109,755 | 110,412 | 112,954 | 114,791 |
| Associate Professor | 3 | 117,047 | 116,404 | 104,110 | 102,629 | 97,136 | 117.047 | 15 | 5 | 112.4 | 114.0 | 100,785 | 101,891 | 102,951 | 105,770 |
| Assistant Professor | 2 | 98,941 | 98,941 | 94,260 | 92,314 | 87,356 | 100,680 | 15 | 5. | 105.0 | 107.2 | 92,007 | 92,191 | 98,941 | 99,289 |
| New Assistant Professor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instructor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27atidamudng |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor |  |  |  | 108,696 | 105,105 | 93,659 | 140,862 | 33) | 10 |  |  | 96,611 | 100,331 | 117,407 | 118,875 |
| Associata Professor | 2 | 106,058 | 106,056 | 93,110 | 93,298 | 73,024 | 106.058 | 28 | 10 | 113.9 | 113.7, | 89,837 | 92,616 | 97,878 | 98,778 |
| Assistant Professor | 2 | 103.295 | 103,295 | 92,468 | 90,706 | 82,748 | 103,295 | 21 | 10 | 111.7 | 113.9 | 86,661 | 88,665 | 99,069 | 99,752 |
| New Assistant Professor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 48,219 | 41,073 | 35,468 | 64,000 | 6 | 5 |  |  | 41,000 | 41,044 | 59,553 | 60,442 |
| Professor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Associate Professor | 1 | 126,469 | 126,469 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assistant Professor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Assistant Professor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instructor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## National Faculty Salary Survey: Multi-Discipline Report (Average)

Focus Institution: University of Michigan-Dearborn
Comparison Group: Faculty Study without UM-AA
Year: 2007-08
Statistics: Unweighted
$\overline{N P-N u m b e r ~ o f ~ I n c u m b e n t s ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a r i s o n ~ g r o u p . ~}$
Nl - Number of institutions in comparison group - (20).

- More than 150\% of the group median
-Less than $75 \%$ of the group median

| CAWDAAA |  | A. Focus | alan | B, 60 On | son Cro ortad A | tp Stelictl eragosia |  |  |  | $\mathrm{ASOANO}_{2}$ | 淮的 of |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Codesilite | NP | Average | Mes ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Averate | Modan | Mathum | Mexmum | WP |  | yerage | Madan | 25 | 40 |  |  |
| Professor | 3 | 87,150 | 86,957 | 83,846 | 82,900 | 67.604 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Associate Proressor | 6 | 59,064 | 60,309 | 60.127 |  |  | 6, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6 | $\cdots$ | 103.9 | 105.1 | 5,993 | 79.8 | 88,974 | 91,256 |
| Assistant Professor | 2 | 54,254 | 54,254 | 50,031 | 48,810 | 45,629 | 55,960 | 64 | 14 | 108.4 | 100.9 | 58,282 | 59,207 | 61,286 | 62,113 |
| New Assistant Professor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | , | O8.4 | 11.2 | 48,190 | 48,392 | 51,446 | 53,131 |
| Insitructor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SDMTWAEY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 78 | 89,873 | 88,694 | 90,748 | 89,463 | 74,367 | 113,118 | 42 | 9 | 101.6 | 103.0. | 83,893 | 87,19 | ! |  |
| Associate Professor | 99 | 74,339 | 73,683 | 72,055 | 71,380 | 62,409 | 83,437 | 41 | 9 | 102.2 | 103.3 | 68,542 | 70,429 | 74.916 | 76,228 |
| Asslistant Professor | 79 | 65.598 | 65,743 | 63,095 | 62,674. | 54,461 | 73,658 | 34 | 8 | 101.2 | 102.2 | 60.007 | 61.530 | 65.975 | 66.776 |
| Instructor | 15 |  |  | 44,380, | 43,729 | 33,073 | 56,777 | 10 | - | $\cdots$ |  | 40.482 | 42,652 | 48,539 | 49,703 |
| New Assistant Professor | 15 | 66,558 | 66,558 | 57,636 | 57,105 | 46,445 | 69,157 | 6 | 4 | 103.3 | 103.7 | 54,233 | 55,836 | 61,114 | 62,109 |
| Summary Total: | 271 | 74,092 | 73,670 | 65,583 | 64,870 | 54,151 | 79,229 | 27 | 7 | 102.1 | 103.0 | 61,431 | 63,528 | 69,330 | 70,617 |

This report was generated by CUPA-HR's Surveys Online application
For the minimum of reported minimum salaries and the maximum of reported maximum salaries, please see the Single Discipline Report.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The American Library Association (ALA) and the Association for Research Libraries (ARL) both publish annual salary surveys on academic librarians. In 2008, median salaries of librarians in the ARL libraries, of which University of Michigan - Ann Arbor is one, improved 3.7\%. The 2008 ALA survey showed a mean salary increase for both academic and public librarians of $2 \%$. More detail is available by subscription at ala.org.

[^1]:    NP. Number of Incumbents within the comparison group. - More than $150 \%$ of the group median

[^2]:    CESF Report 2009 Graphs and Data-4
    Budget Services

[^3]:    Focus Institution: University of Michigan-Dearborn
    Year: 2007-08
    Statistics: Unweighted
    $\frac{\text { Key }}{\text { NP - Number of Incumbents within the comparison group. }}$
    NI - Number of institutions in comparison group - (20).

    - More than $150 \%$ of the group median
    - Less than $75 \%$ of the group median
    

[^4]:    Focus Institution: University of Michigan-Dearborn Comparison Group: Faculty Study without UM-AA
    Year: 2007-08 Statistics: Unweighted

    NP - Number of Incumbents within the comparison group.
    NI - Number of institutions in comparison group - (20).

    - More than $150 \%$ of the group median
    - Less than $75 \%$ of the group median
    - Less than $75 \%$ of the group median

